

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ZANGO, INC.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

INTERNET BRANDS, INC.,

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. C07-506RSL

ORDER REGARDING INITIAL  
DISCLOSURES, JOINT STATUS  
REPORT, AND EARLY  
SETTLEMENT

**I. INITIAL SCHEDULING DATES**

Pursuant to the December 1, 2000 revisions to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court sets the following dates for initial disclosure and submission of the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan:

Deadline for FRCP 26(f) Conference: **May 30, 2007**

Initial Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1): **June 6, 2007**

Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery  
Plan as Required by FRCP 26(f)  
and Local Rule CR 16: **June 13, 2007**

If this case involves claims which are exempt from the requirements of FRCP 26(a) and (f), please notify Teri Roberts, the judicial assistant, by telephone at 206-370-8810.

**II. JOINT STATUS REPORT & DISCOVERY PLAN**

All counsel and any pro se parties are directed to confer and provide the Court with a combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan (the "Report") by **June 13, 2007**. This conference shall be by

ORDER REGARDING INITIAL DISCLOSURES, JOINT STATUS REPORT,  
AND EARLY SETTLEMENT - 1

1 direct and personal communication, whether that be a face-to-face meeting or a telephonic conference.  
2 The Report will be used in setting a schedule for the prompt completion of the case. It must contain the  
3 following information by corresponding paragraph numbers:

4 1. A statement of the nature and complexity of the case.

5 2. A statement of which ADR method (mediation, arbitration, or other) should be used. The  
6 alternatives are described in Local Rule CR 39.1 and in the ADR Reference Guide which is available from  
7 the clerk's office. If the parties believe there should be no ADR, the reasons for that belief should be  
8 stated.

9 3. Unless all parties agree that there should be no ADR, a statement of when mediation or  
10 another ADR proceeding under Local Rule CR 39.1 should take place. In most cases, the ADR  
11 proceeding should be held within four months after the Report is filed. It may be resumed, if necessary,  
12 after the first session.

13 4. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties.

14 5. A proposed discovery plan that indicates:

15 A. The date on which the FRCP 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures  
16 took place;

17 B. The subjects on which discovery may be needed and whether discovery should be  
18 conducted in phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues;

19 C. What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the  
20 Federal and Local Civil Rules, and what other limitations should be imposed;

21 D. A statement of how discovery will be managed so as to minimize expense (e.g., by  
22 foregoing or limiting depositions, exchanging documents informally, etc.); and

23 E. Any other orders that should be entered by the Court under FRCP 26(c) or under  
24 Local Rule CR 16(b) and (c).

25 6. The date by which the remainder of discovery can be completed.  
26

1           7.       Whether the parties agree that a full-time Magistrate Judge may conduct all proceedings,  
2 including trial and the entry of judgment, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule MJR 13. The  
3 Magistrate Judge who will be assigned the case is Monica J. Benton. Agreement in the Report will  
4 constitute the parties' consent to referral of the case to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

5           8.       Whether the case should be bifurcated by trying the liability issues before the damages  
6 issues, or bifurcated in any other way.

7           9.       Whether the pretrial statements and pretrial order called for by Local Rules CR 16(e), (h),  
8 (i), and (l), and 16.1 should be dispensed with in whole or in part for the sake of economy.

9           10.      Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.

10          11.      The date the case will be ready for trial.

11          12.      Whether the trial will be jury or non-jury.

12          13.      The number of trial days required.

13          14.      The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all trial counsel.

14          15.      If, on the due date of the Report, all defendant(s) or respondent(s) have not been served,  
15 counsel for the plaintiff shall advise the Court when service will be effected, why it was not made earlier,  
16 and shall provide a proposed schedule for the required FRCP 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial  
17 disclosures.

18          16.      Whether any party wishes a scheduling conference prior to a scheduling order being  
19 entered in the case.

20               If the parties are unable to agree on any part of the Report, they may answer in separate  
21 paragraphs. No separate reports are to be filed.

22               The time for filing the Report may be extended only by court order. Any request for extension  
23 should be made by telephone to Teri Roberts at 206-370-8810.

24               If the parties wish to have a status conference with the Court at any time during the pendency of  
25 this action, they should notify Teri Roberts by telephone at 206-370-8810.

### III. PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIBILITY

This Order is issued at the outset of the case, and a copy is delivered by the clerk to counsel for plaintiff (or plaintiff, if pro se) and any defendants who have appeared. Plaintiff's counsel (or plaintiff, if pro se) is directed to serve copies of this Order on all parties who appear after this Order is filed within ten (10) days of receipt of service of each appearance. Plaintiff's counsel (or plaintiff, if pro se) will be responsible for starting the communications needed to comply with this Order.

### IV. ALTERATIONS TO ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES

As of June 1, 2004, counsel shall be required to electronically file all documents with the Court. *Pro se* litigants may file either electronically or in paper form. Information and procedures for electronic filing can be found on the Western District of Washington's website at [www.wawd.uscourts.gov](http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov). The following alterations to the Electronic Filing Procedures apply in all cases pending before Judge Lasnik:

- Section III, Paragraph F - when the aggregate submittal to the court (*i.e.*, the motion, any declarations and exhibits, the proposed order, and the certificate of service) exceeds 50 pages in length, a paper copy of the documents (with tabs or other organizing aids as necessary) shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for chambers by 10:30 am the morning after filing. The chambers copy must be clearly marked with the words "Courtesy Copy of Electronic Filing for Chambers."

- Section III, Paragraph L - unless the proposed order is stipulated, agreed, or otherwise uncontested, the parties need not e-mail a copy of the order to the judge's e-mail address.

### V. EARLY SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION

When civil cases are settled early -- before they become costly and time-consuming -- all parties and the court benefit. The Federal Bar Association Alternative Dispute Resolution Task Force Report for this district stated:

[T]he major ADR related problem is not the percentage of civil cases that ultimately settle, since statistics demonstrate that approximately 95% of all cases are resolved without trial. However, the timing of settlement is a major concern. Frequently, under our existing ADR system, case resolution occurs far too late, after the parties have completed discovery and incurred substantial expenditure of fees and costs.

1 The judges of this district have adopted a resolution “approving the Task Force’s recommendation  
2 that court-connected ADR services be provided as early, effectively, and economically as possible in every  
3 suitable case.”

4 The steps required by this Order are meant to help achieve that goal while preserving the rights of  
5 all parties.

6 If settlement is achieved, counsel shall notify Kerry Simonds, deputy clerk, at 206-370-8519.

7 **V. SANCTIONS**

8 A failure by any party to comply fully with this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

9 DATED: May 2, 2007

10  
11  
12 

13 Robert S. Lasnik  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26